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Note from the authors

Who is the intended audience for the document?

The primary intention of this document is to illustrate the key issues and considerations made during the course of implementing a sustainable procurement program. Our primary sources of information have been our partners in the Super Efficient Equipment and Appliance Deployment (SEAD) Initiative Procurement Working Group. Where applicable, we have highlighted specific ways in which working group participants have successfully overcome these barriers.

It is our hope that the issues discussed in this book will benefit developed and developing programs alike. In countries with less developed sustainable procurement programs, we hope that the discussions contained in the document will aid in the planning process. In addition, we hope that consideration of some of these key issues in the beginning stages of program implementation will help avoid some of the pitfalls experienced by more mature programs. In the case of more developed programs, we hope this book will spur conversation among those responsible for administering and evaluating sustainable procurement programs. In many cases, developed programs are seeking to improve existing processes and develop more effective purchaser resources.

What is public sector procurement?

Public sector procurement represents all of the goods and services purchased with taxpayer dollars. This includes items from office paper to warships, janitorial services to IT service contractors. In most countries this expenditure represents between 8 and 20% of national gross domestic product (GDP). The scale of this demand influences market suppliers.

Focusing public sector procurement can harness this influence to achieve policy objectives. For example, if governments prioritize the purchase of energy-efficient products, it is likely that more manufacturers will produce those products to meet the demands of the public sector. In theory, this will expand the market for those products and in turn drive down prices seen by all consumers. This effect, commonly called market transformation, is one of the driving forces behind efforts to direct public sector procurement towards more sustainable options.

Public sector procurement takes place at many levels of government. We have narrowed the focus in this guide to products purchased by national-level government agencies. Many of the issues described in this document can be applied to other levels of government (e.g., state and municipal purchasing).

What is sustainable procurement?

There are many policy objectives that can be targeted through procurement policies. Sustainable procurement has come to represent a wide range of government procurement activities with the overarching goal of lessening the direct and indirect environmental impacts of purchased goods and services. These activities include greenhouse gas (GHG) reduction programs, lowest life-cycle cost (LCC) purchasing programs, and hazardous materials reduction programs, among others. All of these efforts tend to be lumped together under the umbrella of sustainable procurement.
In an effort to limit the scope of this document, we have chosen to focus on one environmental attribute: energy efficiency. Energy-efficient products have the benefit of being easily justified on economic grounds. Programs responsible for setting energy-efficient procurement requirements usually aim for life-cycle cost effective efficiency levels. This makes energy-efficient procurement easy to justify politically. Buying life-cycle cost effective products saves taxpayer dollars.
Chapter 1

Setting Policy

1.1 Executive Summary

Key Points found in the chapter below:

- Policy provides the initiative to begin a transition from first cost to life-cycle cost based purchasing methods and culture
- Effective policy establishes accountability from top to bottom of the organization
- Policy should be constructed to simplify the process necessary to comply

This chapter begins by discussing three key policy objectives. These objectives should be considered in the beginning stages of any procurement policy creation process. They are: establishing intent, setting objectives, and assigning responsibility and reporting requirements. This discussion is followed by an examination of barriers encountered when enacting national-level policy, with the United States as a case study.

1.2 Introduction

Procurement policies reflect organizational priorities. Clear, internally consistent policies that define clear goals form the foundation of successful environmentally preferable procurement programs. Program policies vary in terms of the goals they set, the compliance standards they establish, and the program structures they create. However, several patterns have emerged across programs.

Effective policy requires a change in the standard practices of an organization. It is the basis for overcoming the inertia of the status quo. For most countries, the status quo is purchasing lowest first cost products. A successful program establishes environmentally preferable products as the routine procurement choice, not just a viable alternative. Program policies have achieved their objective when the selection of these products becomes standard operating procedure.

Sustainable procurement policies should accomplish three primary objectives. Each of these objectives revolves around the central goal of creating lasting organizational change. They are:

1. Establishing leadership’s intent
2. Setting program goals and reporting standards
3. Assigning responsibility

Many programs’ policies evolve over time. A policy may not accomplish these objectives at first. Successful programs are able to modify policy in response to unforeseen challenges to implementation. In section 1.5 we present a case study of US federal procurement policy evolution. This case study will highlight an instance where a program was forced to address
barriers that were revealed in the execution of initial procurement policies. A key take-away of this chapter is the need for flexibility in policy creation and implementation.

1.3 Key Policy Elements

This section will focus on the three main policy objectives identified above: establishing intent, setting program goals, and assigning responsibility. These elements can be thought of as the framework around which effective policy is constructed.

1.3.1 Establishing intent

Effective policy establishes sustainable procurement as an organizational priority. It communicates a commitment to action from the highest levels of management. Without this signal of intent, there is little to no impetus for individual entities to change business-as-usual practices.

As with any good policy, the goal should be to enhance existing business processes without adding undue administrative burden. Policy makers should take care to avoid layering procurement policies in a way that interferes with the purchasing process and makes organizational priorities difficult to identify.

Public procurement regulations are extensive. In the United States, for example, the Federal Acquisition Regulations (FAR)\(^1\) are over 2,000 pages long. A small fraction of that document (less than 20 pages) is dedicated to environmentally preferable purchasing requirements.

The FAR is the definitive policy document for federal purchasers. However, the extensiveness of the document makes it a poor policy delivery vehicle. Purchasers are technically required to comply with all of the regulations contained within it, but it is simply unrealistic to assume that changing several pages in a 2000+ page document signals intent to change purchasing practice.

In order to signal intent, new purchasing policies must be written and communicated. As the example above shows, these are not necessarily the same thing. Official, written policies provide the justification for action. The next step is clearly communicating those desired actions to key decision makers in the purchasing process.

Upper-level management plays a crucial role in initiating the chain of communication necessary to disseminate policy to these decision makers. Managers help dictate the priorities of their supervisees. They decide which portions of the extensive government purchasing policy are most important. In the absence of their buy-in and support, it is unlikely that environmentally purchasing policies will ever make it from the foot-long book to the mental checklist actually used by the purchaser when executing a procurement.

Lack of support from upper-level management is frequently cited as one of the top barriers to program success. In 2006, a report to the European Commission on the status of green procurement in Europe identified the top perceived barriers to program success in public agencies. In surveys conducted for the report, 33% of respondents identified “lack of management support, strategic focus and organizational policy strongly promoting GPP” as barriers.

\(^1\) All procurements made with federal funds must comply with the FAR.
Public Procurement as a barrier to program success.

The results of this survey suggest a gap between the establishment of policy and the communication of intent to change organizational purchasing processes. Closing this gap involves a commitment from the top levels of agency management to move environmentally preferable procurement objectives from the written policy to the mental checklist.

It goes without saying that managers of procurement staff do not emphasize all regulations contained in a 2,000 page document equally. In order to signal an intent to change procurement practice, managers should ensure that environmentally preferable purchasing regulations make it on to the short list of regulations routinely emphasized as a key element of procurement compliance. That intent can be signaled in meetings with supervisees, in the employee evaluation process, or in required training programs, to name a few options. Most importantly, intent must be communicated in a clear, uncluttered manner that makes it easy for purchasers to translate the policy into a routine purchasing priority.

1.3.2 Setting goals

Intent must be accompanied by clear goals that support the organization’s commitment to changing its purchasing practice. Effective policies set program goals that are actionable and achievable with current resources. In addition, program goals should be designed with tracking capabilities in mind. The following three questions can help shape the goal-setting process:

1. What is the primary objective?
2. How will employees be expected to achieve it?
3. Will procurement systems be able to measure progress and report success?

Considering these questions will allow for easier compliance checks and improve the ability of management to communicate specific expectations.

The nature of the goal should vary based on organizational capabilities. For example, some government agencies may not possess the systems that allow tracking of detailed product attributes – something we have seen frequently in our work with the SEAD Procurement Working Group. In these cases, it may not make sense to set a firm quantitative goal because it will be impossible to verify that the goal has been met.

It makes more sense to set easily actionable prescriptive goals in situations where purchasing systems are not able to handle more detailed criteria. Effective policy utilizes prescriptive goals in cases where the actions they detail are simple and result in quick wins for the sustainable procurement program. In the United States, for example, policy requires purchasers to buy ENERGY-STAR qualified products where applicable. This type of requirement, based on a recognizable national label, makes it easy for purchasers to comply with the policy and maintains the efficiency of the purchasing process.

The example above illustrates an important point. Goals should not complicate the purchasing process. Ambiguous goals lead to the introduction of inefficiency. This can lead to high rates of

---
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non-compliance as the burden associated with compliance outweighs the desire to comply with the policy. This can be especially true when organizations lack the tracking mechanisms to hold purchasers accountable. The combination of complicated policy and a lack of enforcement mechanisms inevitably leads to low compliance no matter how strongly a policy is worded.

If relatively vague language is used when setting a goal (e.g., “50% of all procurements should be of sustainable products by 2012”), it must be paired with an educational process that communicates what is meant by that language. If purchasers are told to “buy sustainable”, “sustainable” must be defined in terms that are easily understood (e.g., “our organization defines sustainable paper products as those containing more than 30% recycled content.”)

Policy should make room for exceptions in unusual cases. Examples include a product where actual use patterns, operating conditions, or utility prices are substantially different than normal. By definition, these exceptions should be rare, but policies should establish procedures for handling them.

In general, purchasing an ‘exception’ product should be more difficult for the purchaser than purchasing a compliant product. In other words, there should be some level of time burden associated from deviating from meeting the requirements of the program. This time burden can take the form of a process where the purchaser is asked to provide justification for purchasing the non-compliant product. This type of strategy both discourages non-compliance and allows programs to track patterns that may indicate an issue with program requirements (for example, if purchasers consistently ask for exceptions for a specific product or product group, the efficiency requirements may be set too aggressively).

1.3.3 Assigning responsibility and establishing reporting requirements

Announcing intent justifies sustainable purchasing. Creating goals establishes the basis for judging program success. Effective policy also assigns ownership of program targets to individuals within the purchasing process. It is important that responsibility extends from the top to the bottom of the organization.

It is necessary to give the appropriate entities authority to hold others responsible for their program responsibilities. Policymakers can establish the link between individual responsibilities and the authority to enforce those responsibilities. This link is important in all cases where the responsibility may not be the employee’s default action. Procurement programs frequently note the difficulty associated with enforcing program policy. A portion of that difficulty can almost certainly be attributed to the absence of this link.

Effective policy creates high-level responsibilities in addition to individual responsibilities. Most countries with established environmentally preferable procurement programs have created reporting requirements for agencies or departments. These reports communicate high-level successes and barriers that could be used to inform operation-level change. They can also help reinforce the cultural change that programs seek to foster.

There are several challenges associated with both individual and organizational-level reporting requirements. Many of these challenges are related to data tracking and analysis capabilities (or deficiencies). Tracking-specific issues are discussed in Chapter Four of this document.

Policymakers should consider tracking and reporting capabilities when assigning responsibility and establishing reporting requirements. Agencies that show heightened tracking and reporting capabilities should be singled out as examples of best practices. The tracking methods they use to
Policymakers face the challenge of considering these reporting requirements in the context of overall agency sustainability and procurement objectives. In particular, environmentally preferable procurement reporting requirements can cause significant burdens if they are not in line with other procurement reporting requirements (i.e., provided on the same form or in the same format).

On the agency or department level, sustainable procurement reporting requirements should be considered alongside other sustainability goals. For example, if an agency has set overall greenhouse gas (GHG) reduction goals, it makes sense to report progress on procurement initiatives in a way that compliments those goals. Every effort should be made to reduce the burden placed on those providing procurement data, particularly in cases where data collection is manual. Combining multiple data calls and using consistent terminology and formats across different sustainability initiatives will help accomplish this goal.

Finally, any reporting requirements should be designed with program improvement in mind. The results of program reporting are most effective when they indicate specific areas in which the program is excelling or needs improvement. Reporting on progress alone (e.g., 50% of paper procured this reporting cycle meets our agency’s sustainability goals”) is useful, but is much more effective when combined with the ‘why’ (e.g., “Our agency altered the blanket purchasing agreement for office supplies to include a requirement for paper office products to contain at least 30% recycled content. This resulted in a 50% increase in paper products that meet our agency’s sustainability goals.”) This type of reporting provides specific details tying action to result, which can be shared as an example of best practice to other agencies or departments.

1.4 Case Study – US Federal Agencies: Translating intent into action

Life-cycle cost based purchasing offers clear benefits to governments. Taxpayer dollars are used most efficiently when the total cost of product ownership is minimized. In addition, with energy-consuming products, there are many known environmental externalities that are reduced by utilizing more efficient technologies. In the United States, the concept of life-cycle based acquisition has not been controversial, but translation of that concept into practice has been met with challenges. The following narrative discusses some of the obstacles faced in the US’s effort to translate intent into action.

In the U.S., purchasers are unable to make best-value decisions quickly and accurately. Policymakers must maintain purchasing efficiency while asking purchasers to take more criteria into consideration (energy use, product lifespan, etc.) The integration of these additional criteria into the purchasing process has been one of the primary stumbling blocks to the institutionalization of life-cycle based acquisition in the U.S.

These difficulties were reflected in the early attempts to utilize life-cycle based purchasing methods. In the U.S., federal agencies were encouraged to perform life-cycle cost analysis on products that were significant consumers of energy (building HVAC equipment, for example). Policymakers believed that by drawing attention to the concept of life-cycle cost, procurement of energy-efficient products would be the natural result.

In practice, agencies found this difficult to implement for three reasons. One, top-level mandates were not filtering down to ground-level decision-makers. Two, even when purchasers attempted to comply with these policies, conducting full life-cycle cost analysis on a per-procurement
purchase was found to be unduly burdensome. Three, policy language that encouraged the use of life-cycle cost analysis did little to change key motivations behind the standard practice of selecting products based solely on lowest first cost, namely simplicity and budget optimization (i.e., the ability to buy “more” within a given budget). Combined, these factors resulted in a procurement situation that was largely unchanged.

Over time, U.S. policy evolved to address the issues described above. The following sections describe that evolution.

1.4.1 From top-level mandates to field-level policies

Early policies came in the form of declarations from the U.S. President and federal agency directors. These policies directed purchasers to adopt life-cycle based purchasing. Initially, the policies overlooked the fact that procurement officials were guided by the Federal Acquisition Regulations (FAR) as their primary source of direction vis a vis procurement policies and procedures. The FAR was unaffected by the presidential directives; a formal regulatory process is necessary to modify the FAR. As a result, there was a disconnection between official policy (the directives) and the detailed regulations and procedures purchasers referenced when making procurement decisions (the FAR). To address this problem, language was inserted into the FAR requiring life-cycle cost based procurement. With this change, policy was communicated to procurement officials through the mechanism that most affected their decision-making.

This experience raises an important point for program implementers. Buy-in from the highest levels of government is important for program success, but policy enacted at those levels may not directly translate to a change in the policies purchasers use. Programs must identify the policies and regulations most relevant to the purchasing process.

1.4.2 From complicated analysis to simple choice

Performing a life-cycle cost calculation can be complicated. First, the variables included in the calculation must be defined; for example, energy use and cost, maintenance cost, operating hours, product life, etc. Second, values for each of those variables must be acquired or estimated. Finally, the economic value of these costs over time must be determined.

In practice, this level of analysis is overwhelming – and unnecessary for many commonly purchased products. Purchasers cannot and should not be expected to regularly perform these tasks. For some large-scale acquisitions (buildings, public works projects, etc.), making a detailed life-cycle calculation is warranted. However, expecting procurement staff to perform this analysis when purchasing relatively inexpensive off-the-shelf products is unreasonable. Some mechanism for streamlining this process is necessary if life-cycle cost is to be considered for these product types. The U.S. found that purchasers were likely to ignore life-cycle cost policies in the absence of this streamlining.

Policy plays a key role in determining the simplicity or complexity of the life-cycle cost evaluation process. Policy can specify standard values that procurement officials may use to make life-cycle cost calculations. This takes the guesswork (and associated time burden) out of

3 US Federal Acquisition Guidelines (discussed earlier in this document) are the set of regulations purchasers must abide by when making a procurement.
determining the variables discussed above. However, even with these standard values, additional effort is required to choose a lowest life-cycle cost product. The life-cycle cost calculation still needs to be performed, and products must be compared. Individuals responsible for implementing the U.S. program recognized that this calculation and comparison process should be removed entirely for commonly purchased products.

In the U.S., accomplishing this simplification takes two forms. In one case, a designated agency\(^4\) sets product performance levels deemed to be approximately life-cycle cost effective given typical operating hours, energy prices, etc. These performance levels, and the basis for setting them, are explained to purchasers. Selecting a best-value product is then a process of finding the lowest price among products that meet those performance levels.

In the second case, another agency\(^5\) identifies a performance level (e.g., 90% efficiency for a residential furnace) that, again, approximates what would be life-cycle-cost-effective for most buyers, and works with manufacturers to label products meeting that threshold. Purchasers can then either look for the program label or search for qualified products on the program’s website. Current U.S. policy directs purchasers to make use of one of these simplified processes. Both of these processes take the additional calculation out of the purchasing process. Selecting a life-cycle cost effective product is simplified to the point where a purchaser need only locate a standard product attribute or label in order to assume life-cycle cost effectiveness.

Even these relatively simple processes require a small amount of additional effort on the part of the purchaser. In an ideal case, no additional effort would be required to achieve policy goals. Procurement policies would be directly integrated with government supply or e-procurement systems, so that only products matching policy requirements would be available for purchase. See Chapter Five for a more detailed discussion on this topic.

All programs should consider the impact of policy on the efficiency of the procurement process and strive to mitigate that impact. The U.S. case illustrates an example of how a program can recognize and respond to inefficiency. The more effectively a program is able to identify and address these inefficiencies, the higher the compliance rate it will achieve and the greater the benefit to the overall purchasing process.

1.4.3 From voluntary to mandatory purchasing requirements

Procurement policy requiring the purchase of life-cycle cost effective products was initially voluntary in the U.S. As discussed above, there was a belief in the U.S. federal government that life-cycle based purchasing would naturally supplant first cost based purchasing. Contrary to these assumptions, adoption of life-cycle based purchasing did not immediately take hold in federal agencies. Changing standard practice was more difficult than anticipated. First cost purchasing habits had become so ingrained in agency culture that more of a push was necessary to achieve widespread change. The voluntary nature of the requirements was identified as a potential barrier to this change.


Over time, policies moved away from voluntary to mandatory. Policy language became more prescriptive as it disseminated from top to bottom. This prescriptive language was integrated into the FAR, an executive order\(^6\) requiring agencies to purchase energy-efficient products, and legislation\(^7\) passed by Congress that required all federal agencies to purchase FEMP-designated and ENERGY STAR qualified products where applicable. Taken together, these policies set legal requirements for the procurement of energy-efficient products and established accountability from agency heads down to purchasing employees. Universal compliance has still not been achieved, but the increase in accountability attributable to these policies has provided the impetus for gradual cultural change in purchasing departments.

As will be discussed in more detail later in this document, the establishment of legally binding policy does not guarantee high or even moderate levels of compliance. For some governments, there may be no need for mandatory policy language if voluntary policies are enough to encourage change. The end goal of policy is to create cultural change that would not come about naturally. Good policy can start that process of change, but additional mechanisms are necessary to bring about a lasting cultural transformation. Policy is only the beginning of a process.

1.5 Key Take-Aways:

Two themes emerge from the chapter above:

1. **Good policies do the following three things:**
   a. *Establish Intent* – Government procurement policy must send a clear and strong signal to buyers about intended outcomes.
   b. *Set Goals* – Effective policies require a clear target for successful implementation.
   c. *Assign Responsibility and establish reporting requirements* – Policies must clearly delineate specific roles and responsibilities for implementation.

2. **Successful policy implementation includes the following three elements:**
   a. *Broad policy dissemination* - Purchasers should receive information about government priorities within the communications channels they use.
   b. *Specific policy mandates* - Policies and implementation strategies should be as specific and as simple to achieve as possible. Buyers should be given explicit direction that can be readily achieved.
   c. *Attention to behavior change as the desired outcome* - Policies are intended to achieve changes in behavior. Change can be difficult. Program implementers should monitor and adjust policies to effectively influence buyers.

---


Chapter 2

Setting Environmental Attribute Standards

2.1 Executive Summary

Key points found in this chapter:

• Procurement staff must be confident that energy-efficient procurement standards offer the best long-term value for their organization’s money
• Involving multiple stakeholders at the early stages of the standards creation process can result in greater levels of cooperation from private industry
• Standards should make comparison of products easy for purchasers and require minimal additional calculations

This chapter will focus on important issues for consideration when establishing procurement standards. In addition, it will include a discussion of the processes used by the United States and the United Kingdom. Each of these country case studies highlights a number of points found in the ‘key steps’ section.

2.2 Introduction

A robust process for creating purchasing standards is a crucial component of energy efficiency purchasing programs. Effective standards clearly identify criteria to be used by purchasers. These criteria enable public buyers to achieve best value for money. In addition, purchasing standards provide a baseline for evaluating overall program success.

Purchaser uncertainty regarding the cost effectiveness of energy-efficient products is a barrier to program success. Lowest life-cycle cost offers best long-term value for money, but purchasers still often face pressure to buy on the basis of lowest first cost. The idea that “I can’t go wrong buying the cheapest product” is deeply ingrained in most government procurement cultures. In addition, purchasers are driven by a desire to maximize the amount of goods and services procured within an allocated budget. Purchasing products on the basis of long-term value for

A Brief Definition of Standards

In the body of this paper, efficiency targets for public sector procurement will be referred to as “standards.” However, it should be kept in mind that procurement standards are distinct from the legally binding minimum energy efficiency performance standards (MEPS) established by governments to regulate the manufacture, import, or sale of certain products. In the context of this paper, product standards refer to the target levels governments establish for their own purposes, not standards that legally regulate the industry as a whole. The focus of standards discussed in this chapter will be energy efficiency, though most of the points also apply to environmentally preferable standards more broadly.
money may not compliment the other factors considered when evaluating a purchaser’s job performance.

Government procurement standards help to address these barriers. Procurement standards minimize the time and product knowledge necessary to evaluate the cost-effectiveness of each procurement. They also signal that purchasing on the basis of long-term value for money is acceptable (or required). The remainder of this chapter will detail some of the issues associated with creating effective product standards. It will also provide examples of how to address those barriers.

### 2.3 Issues to Consider When Creating Purchasing Standards

Each of the following must be considered when creating product standards:

1. Transitioning to life-cycle cost-based procurement
2. Involving stakeholders from government and private industry from the beginning
3. Using existing energy-efficiency performance metrics
4. Assuring transparency in the process of setting efficiency standards
5. Creating standards with future tracking needs in mind
6. Taking advantage of existing government or private industry eco-labels

#### 2.3.1 Transitioning to life-cycle cost-based procurement

The transition from first cost to life-cycle based purchasing is one of the most significant hurdles facing environmentally preferable purchasing programs. One of the main metrics procurement staff use when making a purchase is product value for money spent by their organization. Value for money can have very different definitions depending on whether a first-cost or life-cycle cost model is used. Under a first cost model, evaluating product value for money spent is as simple as choosing the least expensive product (or the greatest quantity of products for a given price). The momentum of first-cost-based procurement culture is very difficult to overcome.

By comparison to first-cost purchasing, procurement under a life-cycle cost model can seem more complicated. Under a life-cycle cost based approach, purchasers are (sometimes) asked to buy products or services that appear to be more expensive. This runs directly contrary to a purchaser’s long-held definitions of value. Programs should not underestimate the lasting impact of a culture based around first cost and volume optimization.

In order to overcome this barrier, purchasers must be assured that the products they are buying based on life-cycle based standards achieve best value for money. This process begins with setting product procurement standards that are certain to offer better value to the organization. In turn, programs must clearly communicate the changed definition of value for money to purchasers. Purchasers should be assured that if they follow the procurement standards set by the program, they meet the requirements of life-cycle cost based value for money by default. Ultimately, these changes should be reflected in training and performance evaluation processes.

#### 2.3.2 Involving stakeholders from government and private industry

Involving multiple stakeholder groups adds legitimacy to the development of any product efficiency standard. By definition, a standard disallows the purchase of some products. In some
cases this may prevent a vendor from providing its goods or services to the government. Involving industry stakeholders from an early point in the process is one means of heading off challenges posed by those whom the standards exclude. An open process makes it clear that fairness to vendors is a priority.

Internationally, public procurement programs have chosen to involve vendors to varying degrees in the standards creation process. This will be discussed in more detail in the case studies below. In short, there is an important boundary to respect between building alliances with suppliers and allowing them to dictate the standards creation process or outcome. Some programs have addressed this issue by involving vendors in only certain parts of the process, while still allowing some aspects, particularly the choice of the final specifications, to be fully internal. This allows for vendor feedback, while not interfering with the establishment of aggressive standards.

2.3.3 Using existing performance evaluation metrics

In order to determine which products meet the government’s procurement standards (top quartile of energy efficiency, for example), programs must use or develop a reliable evaluation method. In some cases, the body responsible for setting government purchasing standards can develop this process (i.e., the program develops a custom evaluation procedure.) However, in order to conserve program resources and send consistent market signals, it makes sense to build on established testing and rating methods when possible.

Using established, industry-accepted product testing and rating methods (established national labels or voluntary, industry-led rating schemes, for example) helps assure that manufacturers will actually pay to have their products tested and rated. This can be useful in cases where the “pull” of government purchasing may be insufficient. In addition, following previously established product-testing criteria adds credibility to the process.

Using industry standard test procedures developed under approved test methods (e.g., ANSI, IEC, ISO) helps establish a market-wide, reliable method for rating product performance. This also ensures that product efficiency ratings are accessible to purchasers. For example, the U.S. Federal Energy Management Program (FEMP) uses energy ratings available on physical labels or on product specification sheets. By looking for these labels or specifications, purchasers can easily verify that a product is compliant with government efficiency standards. No further research is necessary, and purchasing process efficiency is uncompromised.

2.3.4 Emphasizing a transparent efficiency standard creation process

Transparent standards address the issue of purchaser confidence and promote industry buy-in. An open process is more likely to receive backing from stakeholders on both sides of the acquisition process. A closed process can result in market confusion or suspicion and be met with resistance by manufacturers and purchasers alike. A more open process lessens concerns related to manufacturer favoritism. Clear explanation of the process used to arrive at those levels will not entirely eliminate manufacturer and purchaser concerns, but it does make apparent that an unbiased method was used to determine target efficiency levels. Manufactures can be more confident that they were not unfairly excluded, and purchasers can be more confident that they are achieving best value for the government’s money.
2.3.5 Creating standards with an eye towards tracking compliance

Standards should be set in a way that allows for verification of product performance after the purchase is complete. Ideally, this would take the form of a single criterion (i.e., a performance rating) that could be easily stored by a business management system. If the verification process is complex, it is unlikely that the data can be easily stored.

The greater the number of product criteria that are considered, the more complicated compliance verification becomes. This does not eliminate multi-attribute standards from consideration. However, in cases where multiple attributes are considered, it is preferable that all of those individual attributes be wrapped in a single, easily verifiable standard product criterion (a label such as EPEAT, for example.)

2.3.6 Coordinating with other nationally prominent eco-labels where possible

The relationship between the United States’ Federal Energy Management Program (FEMP) and the ENERGY STAR labeling program will be examined later in this section. These two programs serve as an excellent example of the advantages brought about by basing a procurement standard on well established energy labels or eco-labels, and the energy testing and rating methods that underlie them. This type of coordination sends a consistent message to manufacturers. It reduces the amount of extra effort needed to develop the product efficiency specification. Coordination also simplifies compliance for manufacturers, vendors, and purchasing officials.

2.4 Key Steps in Creating Procurement Standards

The following four points outline the key steps necessary to operationalize government energy-efficient procurement policies by creating effective procurement standards.

1. **Decide which products to cover.** Select ‘quick win’ products first. Good first products include those that use a significant amount of energy (per unit or in the aggregate), products that are already covered under pre-existing national standards or labels, and products that have relatively low incremental cost and/or significant differences in energy efficiency levels.

2. **Determine target efficiency levels for those products.** Efficiency standards should guarantee life-cycle cost effectiveness for typical applications of energy-using products. Typically, governments aim to set target levels at or above efficiency ranges for a group of products (top 25%, for example). This type of approach achieves a good balance between incremental first cost and life-cycle energy savings, while simplifying the process necessary to set the standards.

3. **Periodically review target levels as markets evolve.** A successful program will naturally shift the market towards more efficient products in targeted categories. When

---

8 Discussed in more detail in chapters four and five.

9 Government-specific purchase data are often difficult to obtain. A good first approximation is that government purchasing is proportional to commercial sector purchasing. Energy-using products with high commercial domestic sales are likely good targets for government procurement programs. – with the exception of those related exclusively to household consumption, retail sales, or manufacturing processes.
this occurs, more aggressive targets should be set to continue the market transformation process. In some cases, levels of efficiency may be reached where programs can declare victory and cease to update that product’s target efficiency level. This can be quantified by a negligible difference between the highest and lowest performing products.

4. **Modify procurement systems to capture product data relevant to efficiency standards.** Programs must develop a method for verifying that purchased products meet the established efficiency standards. This requires procurement systems with the ability to track product attributes relevant to the standards (e.g., efficiency levels) and to generate data that can be used in program evaluation studies.

Each of these steps can evolve over time. More product categories can be added to a government’s list of covered products. Target efficiency levels can become more stringent as the market adapts. Cost effectiveness analysis can become more sophisticated by taking a greater number of product attributes into account. Review cycles can become more (or less) frequent to better match market changes. Product attribute data can be integrated into all procurement systems, allowing purchase of preferable products to become the default purchaser action. Examples of how specific programs have taken these steps are discussed in the next section.

2.5 **Case Studies: Creating Procurement Standards in the United States & the United Kingdom**

This section compares the processes used to create government purchasing standards in the U.S. and the U.K. These countries are leaders both in the length of time their programs have been operating and in the processes used to define purchasing standards.

2.5.1 **The United States: Federal Energy Management Program**

FEMP<sup>10</sup> performs three primary functions with relation to federal government procurement of energy-efficient products. These functions all support the goal of achieving maximum savings to U.S. taxpayers through reducing the energy footprint of the federal government. The functions are:

1. Identifying energy savings opportunities
2. Providing information to assist federal purchasers
3. Evaluating program effectiveness

The creation of government purchasing standards, called Acquisition Guidance & Efficiency Requirements (AGERs),<sup>11</sup> supports each of the above functions. These requirements are mandatory for federal buyers by law and as specified in the Federal Acquisition Regulations (FAR).<sup>12</sup> Each AGER specifies product attributes that are easily identified on a specification sheet. This eliminates the need for all purchasers to have specific expertise in the technology being procured. This minimizes the operational burden imposed by the requirements.

---

<sup>10</sup> Operated under the US Department of Energy (DOE) - http://www1.eere.energy.gov/femp/

<sup>11</sup> Formerly “FEMP Purchasing Specifications”

The following describes some of the key steps in the development of these requirements, the challenges faced by FEMP, and the strategies employed to overcome those barriers.

2.5.1.1 Defining and targeting a product category

The process of defining product categories to target can be difficult with limited available data on purchasing in the government. In an ideal scenario, it would be possible to analyze all purchases made on behalf of the government and identify which products represented the largest savings opportunities. However, in the US, as in the majority of countries with environmentally preferable purchasing programs, there is no such central data repository. Data scarcity is a recurring theme and barrier in the area of sustainable procurement. FEMP has employed several techniques to overcome this barrier.

Whenever possible, FEMP aims to avoid the large cost and personnel time associated with primary data collection. FEMP is somewhat limited in its ability to collect product data directly from manufacturers. There is no legal requirement for manufacturers to provide data to FEMP employees. In addition, manufacturers are less likely to provide data to FEMP if there is a perception that the resulting requirement might inhibit their ability to sell their products to the government.

In light of these difficulties, data is typically collected from trade associations and other organizations that aggregate product data for the industries being targeted by FEMP’s efficiency requirements. In cases where primary data collection is unavoidable, FEMP uses publicly available manufacturer specification sheets, though for reasons stated above, this is not the preferred option.

FEMP selects product types for which there are well-established testing procedures for measuring energy efficiency. Creating a product testing procedure from the ground up is a costly, time-consuming process. Using an industry-standard process ensures that information generated from the test is likely to be readily available from the manufacturer. This means that (1) FEMP can easily obtain the data necessary to perform analysis used to set the requirements, and (2) the purchaser can readily locate the performance data when determining if the product qualifies for federal purchase.

Early FEMP research suggested that federal buildings were similar to other commercial-sector buildings. FEMP therefore targets end uses that are common in the commercial sector (and assumes they are also prevalent in the federal-sector). These include fluorescent lighting, chillers and boilers, motors, and commercial kitchen equipment. Plug loads within the buildings are also a significant end use, so FEMP’s recommendations include commercial office equipment.

There are ongoing efforts to refine the process for targeting new product types. Currently, there is a pilot project underway to collect purchasing data from Oak Ridge National Laboratory. The similar purchasing profiles of Oak Ridge and other large, contractor-operated federal facilities will allow FEMP to make inferences regarding federal purchasing based on the data collected during this study. This and other activities that provide snapshots of purchasing activity can serve as viable alternatives to costly attempts to consolidate all federal procurement data in

13 For example, FEMP used this approach when setting target efficiency levels for fluorescent ballasts.

14 A U.S. Department of Energy National Laboratory.
one central database.

2.5.1.2 Setting product efficiency levels

FEMP seeks to collect energy performance data for the full range of models in each product category that it intends to address. After this data collection process, analysis is performed that identifies a level of performance that is considered life-cycle cost effective. When setting performance targets, FEMP aims for the top quartile in energy efficiency. This level has been found to be stringent enough to differentiate products while still encompassing enough products and manufacturers to assure adequate availability and encourage price competition. FEMP specifically targets product categories with a range of efficiency distributions, maximizing the market-pull effect and savings from government purchasing of products that fall into the top quartile.

Using federal product usage pattern data along in combination with energy performance information, FEMP estimates the annual and lifetime energy savings associated with purchasing the efficient products compared to a base case. If the discounted lifetime energy savings exceed the price premium (if one exists) of the efficient product, the efficient product is considered life-cycle cost-effective for most use cases.

In addition to life-cycle cost effectiveness, the following criteria must be met:

- Multiple manufacturers (generally a minimum of 3) must be able to meet the efficiency requirements with currently available models.
- The technology used in the manufacturing process or product to meet the requirement must be available from multiple sources (cannot be proprietary)
- The requirements must specify product criteria that are readily identifiable through published product lists, on specification sheets, and preferably on the labels, of products.

If any of the above criteria cannot be met, it may be necessary for FEMP to compromise on the top quartile goal, loosening the levels until all supplemental criteria are achieved.

2.5.1.3 Consulting with industry stakeholders

After FEMP creates an Acquisition Guidance & Efficiency Requirement internally, industry stakeholders are solicited for their input. FEMP consults with industry representatives only after its initial analysis is complete. If manufacturers offer convincing evidence that the efficiency requirements result in an undue burden, FEMP will consider a revision to the proposed requirement.

2.5.1.4 Coordinating with the ENERGY STAR program

The process used to create FEMP AGERs is closely aligned with the process used by the US ENERGY STAR program. Both FEMP and ENERGY STAR use the “top quartile” rule and the same criteria listed in the Setting Efficiency Levels section above. In addition, “ENERGY STAR specifications are set so that if there is a cost differential at time of purchase, that cost is recovered through utility bill savings over a reasonable period of time for the typical
By using similar methods in the creation of purchasing requirements, FEMP is able to take advantage of product analysis performed by ENERGY STAR and vice versa.

U.S. federal buyers are required to buy efficient products in approximately 80 categories. Of these, ENERGY STAR covers over 60. In these cases, FEMP directs purchasers to select the ENERGY STAR qualified product. This coordination allows FEMP to offset the burden associated with maintaining purchasing specifications while providing purchasers with an easy means of identifying which products to buy: If the product type is covered by ENERGY STAR and the model in question has an ENERGY STAR label, the purchaser can assume that the product is cost-effective to the government.

FEMP is responsible for setting efficiency requirements for the product categories not covered by ENERGY STAR, including larger commercial products such as commercial lighting, building chillers, boilers and electric motors. ENERGY STAR encompasses nearly all consumer-level product categories as well as some that are used both by individual consumers and businesses, such as appliances, home heating and cooling, personal computing and office equipment, and televisions. This division of labor has advantages for both programs and ensures that the government is sending a consistent message to the market about what it considers to be energy efficient.

2.5.2 The United Kingdom: Government Buying Standards

In 2003 the U.K. Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (Defra) developed a group of standards called Quick Wins -- high-priority product groups that represented significant energy and cost savings opportunities for the government. Today, these recommendations have been rebranded as Government Buying Standards and encompass ten product groups. The use of these standards is mandatory for all central government departments, their executive agencies, Non Departmental Public Bodies (NDPBs) and Non-Ministerial Departments (NMDs).

The U.K., as a member of the E.U., is required to follow the E.U. environmentally preferable purchasing criteria established by the European Commission. The E.U. criteria are composed of ten product categories and are voluntary for all E.U. members. Interviews conducted with U.K. SEAD Procurement Working Group representatives revealed that the U.K. uses these criteria as a starting point for their own standards. In setting their own standards, the government aims to exceed E.U. baseline requirements.

An examination of the process used by Defra to draft procurement standards shows many similarities to the FEMP process, as well as several important differences. Similar to FEMP, Defra emphasizes life-cycle cost-effectiveness. According to the Defra website, “Specifications are extensively reviewed with the support of market research and analysis, to establish appropriate criteria for each listed product which will take long term cost effectiveness and


When referring to sustainability in regards to government procurement, value for money (VfM) is a frequently used term in the U.K. This term is similar to life-cycle cost-effectiveness but slightly more encompassing. It includes factors such as end-of-life disposal, the environmental impact of manufacture, materials used and appropriateness for intended purpose, in addition to return on investment through life-cycle energy savings.

The following diagram illustrates the Government Buying Standards creation workflow.

![Diagram of Government Buying Standards creation workflow]

This diagram reflects similarities to the FEMP process described above with an increased emphasis on multi-stakeholder involvement. As with FEMP, the initial analysis is performed internally and industry stakeholders are invited to participate after the creation of the draft standard.

From the outset of the Defra process, personnel from multiple areas of government are involved; whereas in the US, FEMP staff performs the initial analysis internally without the involvement of outside departments.

---


2.6 Key Take-Aways

Several themes emerge from this chapter:

1. *Policy preference must be operationalized through clear, actionable criteria.*
   In order to translate policy into action, specific information is necessary to guide purchasing decisions. It is not enough to set policy that simply directs officials to "Buy efficient equipment." Implementation standards are necessary to define efficiency in order to lower the barriers to purchasing of energy-efficient products. Clearly defining the process for determining what constitutes an efficient product allows purchasers to have confidence in their product selections.

2. *Performance requirements must be clearly and fairly set.*
   The process of setting performance requirements is, by definition, a process that eliminates some products from qualifying for government purchase. The implied market preference can be politically and commercially sensitive, so it is important that standards are set in a clear, repeatable, and fair way. Using metrics that have been developed through national or international standard-setting bodies can assist in demonstrating the fairness of the process.

3. *Collaboration with other market actors is valuable.*
   Government procurement programs are often not alone in trying to affect markets. Other industry, government, or NGO stakeholders may also be involved in attempts to indicate preferable features of energy-consuming goods; for example, through the use of eco-labels. Where possible, government programs should attempt to work in concert with these programs so that a clear and consistent signal is sent to buyers, both public and private.
Chapter 3

Designing Training Programs

3.1 Executive Summary

Key points found in this chapter:

- Resources for the creation of training programs are usually very limited, but well-targeted training is necessary in order for a program to be effective.
- Training must emphasize a process that is efficient for purchasers, in addition to being in compliance with new policies.
- Purchaser resources and policy must be well established for training to be effective.
- Training program development is an excellent opportunity for collaboration among departments, agencies, and governments.

This chapter will cover some of the most important steps and considerations in the creation of a training program for government buyers on environmentally preferable purchasing. The chapter begins with a discussion of several issues to keep in mind from the outset when creating a training program. A section detailing key aspects of effective programs follows. The chapter concludes with two case studies, one from the United States and one from the European Union, illustrating important training program components discussed in the previous sections.

3.2 Introduction

Programs must communicate policy objectives to personnel at each point in the acquisition process. Training programs are a key component of any communication strategy. Training serves as a bridge between the policy, program resources, and desired outcomes. Without effective training, programs are unlikely to change established purchasing practices.

The most effective training programs instruct procurement staff on how to improve the overall efficiency of the procurement process. Purchasing environmentally preferable products should not be viewed as an additional burden. This is why it is crucial that training programs promote efficient procurement workflows. Without increasing, or at least maintaining, the overall efficiency of the process, there is little incentive for procurement staff to deviate from a business-as-usual approach. Compliance rates will be higher when policy goals are consistent with an efficient work process.

Training programs are unique from country to country and from agency to agency. The different procurement policies, structures and workflows in each unique context require training programs to be tailored to those features. However, we have found that across programs, several common elements emerge as important components. Effective programs:

1. Identify nodes where the influence of training will be greatest.
2. Tailor training to the intended audience.
3. Link purchasers to tools and resources that make their daily work more efficient.
4. Facilitate compliance by making policy-consistent decisions easy.
5. Establish ongoing supplemental trainings to keep procurement staff up to date with evolving product specifications, procurement tools and relevant policy updates.

Each of the above requires thorough understanding of the public procurement landscape and program structures. Methods of achieving each of these points will be discussed in the following sections, in addition to issues and barriers that must be overcome.

3.3 Issues to Consider

The following topics are important to consider in the beginning stages of implementing a procurement training program. These topics are drawn from the collective experience of the SEAD Procurement Working Group and first-hand experience with the United States Federal Energy Management Program (FEMP).

3.3.1 Gap between policy, resources & action

Training is a mechanism to achieve change in business practice. One of the primary purposes is to direct purchasers towards the resources that have been created to aid their compliance with policy. Examples of these resources include guidance documents, cost calculators, and sample contract language. Purchasers are not always aware of these resources, nor do they understand how to integrate them into their business process. Closing this gap between policy, resources, and action should be a primary focus of training programs. Training cannot bring about behavior change if it cannot connect resources to the purchasers.

3.3.2 Limited budgets for training programs

Budgets allocated to environmentally preferable purchasing programs are typically very limited, especially when considered relative to the scale of public sector procurement. In the U.S., for example, over 500,000 people have the ability to make a purchase on behalf of the government. Even a very well-resourced program will have difficulty training each individual. This necessitates thoughtful targeting of training resources.

It is important to move away from a mindset where maximum coverage is the primary goal. Successful training programs target actors at specific high-impact points in the procurement process (the product specifiers, for instance). An effective training program should identify key decision points in the procurement process and provide the corresponding employees with targeted training that enables them to make effective purchasing choices. This approach maximizes limited training resources and provides employees with information relevant to their position in the procurement process. This will be discussed in more detail in the Key Steps section of this chapter.

---

19 This is discussed in more detail in Chapter Five.
3.3.3 Emphasis on overall program efficiency

Procurement employees are typically evaluated on the basis of purchasing efficiency. Training is unlikely to be effective if trainees believe that new requirements make the procurement process more cumbersome. An important aspect of the training is to create the link between compliance with policy and increased overall ease and efficiency in the purchasing process.

If complying with the policy does not make the purchasing process more efficient for the employee, then training must emphasize new performance evaluation criteria that prioritize compliance (quality of procurement) over speed. As was discussed in the Policy chapter, creating compliance-based evaluation criteria is difficult. Therefore, training programs should place a heavy emphasis on the tools and resources being made available to purchasers. This helps maintain purchasing efficiency while also improving compliance.

3.4 Key Steps

The following section covers several key steps to creating an effective training program. These steps should be considered at the beginning of the program creation process. They may also be valuable to keep in mind during a revision of currently existing training programs.

3.4.1 Understand the process – effectively target resources

Many actors participate in the procurement process. Different groups of actors have distinct responsibilities and impacts. The procurement process can be thought of as a network of actors interacting to achieve a specific outcome (acquisition), with each group of actors representing a node in that network. Each node must have information relevant to its place within the network to be effective. Information also needs to flow effectively between nodes. Training enables each actor to access information relevant to their position and facilitates the movement of information between nodes. Making training contextually specific is necessary to achieve this desired effect.

Typical procurement structures can often be grouped into tiers. The diagram below illustrates this grouping with a simplified procurement structure for a public agency.

![Diagram of Procurement Tiers]

Figure 1. Notional procurement tiers
As is illustrated by Figure 1, the number of actors present at each tier increases from top to bottom. Interviews with members of theProcurement Working Group have revealed that on both national and municipal levels, many purchases happen at these lower tiers. A large number of employees are able to buy small miscellaneous items with purchase cards\(^\text{20}\) or another equivalent method. Particularly at these lower levels in the pyramid, it is difficult to deliver effective personalized training. Centralized, web-based resources (such as those described above in the U.S. case) play a prominent role in delivering the necessary training to these individuals.

With limited training resources, it is difficult to balance the need for context-specific training with the need to reach the large number of actors at these lower tiers. There is no easy resolution to this conflict of the need for specificity versus scale; however, it is important to keep in mind that some level of training is necessary for actors at each tier. Where possible, training should be targeted at the tier that has the highest impact on the procurement of energy-consuming goods.

Training should be targeted based on an analysis of procurement patterns. Within each department or agency, there may be a handful of purchasers responsible for the acquisition of major energy consuming products. For example, if certain contracting officers are responsible for the acquisition of building-level systems (HVAC equipment, lighting, etc.), or information technology equipment, those individuals should be targeted for more focused training.

### 3.4.2 Make training strategies and materials transferable

Training methods that are effective in one department or agency are likely to be effective in others. Redundancy in the creation of training materials is an area of significant waste. One method of maximizing the effect of limited training budgets is the establishment of channels for agencies to exchange training materials. In addition, new materials should be integrated into already existing training platforms. Exchanging training materials among agencies reduces redundancy and enhances interagency collaboration. Consistent training materials provide a common foundation on which a network of peers can be built.

The U.S. has several examples of centralized training resources:

- The Defense Acquisition University (DAU) is a web-based training center used by Department of Defense (DoD) employees.\(^\text{21}\)
- The Federal Acquisition Institute (FAI) provides corresponding services to civilian agencies.\(^\text{22}\)
- FedCenter.gov provides information relevant to environmentally preferable purchasing policies, purchaser tools, and training resources.\(^\text{23}\)

The U.K. recently launched a web-based portal: The Sustainable Procurement Centre of

\(^{20}\) A Chapter 5 case study discusses purchase cards in more detail.


Excellence for Higher Education. Similar to the portals in the U.S., the U.K. website is intended to serve as a central hub of knowledge concerning sustainable acquisition.

3.4.3 Link purchasers to existing expertise

Procurement departments are often divided into areas of unique expertise. For example, some contracting staff may specialize in information technology (IT) procurement, while others specialize in the procurement of food service equipment. Training programs should take advantage of this specialization and offer programs to employees tailored to their primary areas of responsibility.

It is unreasonable and unrealistic to expect all purchasers to become experts on every product category. In cases where purchasers have product category-specific expertise, training programs should enhance that expertise. In cases where purchasers do not have product-specific expertise, training should help connect them with those that do have that expertise. Trainings should focus on creating links between purchasers and hubs of existing expertise. These hubs could be in the form of online purchaser resources, such as life-cycle cost calculator tools or acquisition guidance documents. Hubs of expertise could also be the personnel in procurement departments that have the unique product category expertise for the item being purchased.

3.4.4 Make training resources modular

In cases where the majority of employees have the ability to make purchases with public funds, some level of universal training is necessary. The key issue is the question of how to effectively reach the greatest number of employees on a limited budget. In some countries, the answer to this issue has been the creation of online trainings that take the place of costly face-to-face training sessions.

Online trainings have the advantage of being easily modifiable. Trainings can be built in modules based on the individual needs of the trainee. This allows for the creation of progressive trainings ranging from those provided to all agency employees to those received by procurement officials and agency leadership.

3.4.5 Coordinate policy updates with training updates

Like any aspect of procurement training, environmentally preferable procurement training materials must be updated to match changes in applicable policy. An advantage of centralized training portals (discussed above) is the relative ease with which resources can be updated. Fragmentation of training resources, and a resulting disconnect between training and policy is nearly inevitable in the absence of such central portals. Creating “plug and play” centralized resources allows fluid information updating, without version control related issues.

Training may require customization tailored to each agency. Designing training in modular form (such as described above) helps to create a standard baseline for training materials capable of being updated in a central online repository. Systems can be designed to automatically alert relevant personnel at each agency when these central documents are updated. The modular

approach allows for easier editing of trainings during each refresh – avoiding the need to revise large sections of material while maintaining flow and integrity.

3.4.6 Develop standard processes for trainer certification

Training resources may be developed or delivered by outside contractors to federal agencies. In these instances, coordination is essential to ensure accuracy. Standard criteria should be developed to ensure that trainings are consistent over time regardless of who is responsible for training administration. One method of promoting this coordination is to develop a trainer certification process. Such a process could require the trainer, or organization providing the training, to periodically compare their material with agency policy in order to continue providing training services. If this certification process were standardized across departments or agencies, it could be an effective means of ensuring training material consistency. Developing a base of certified trainers may also be helpful to the private sector in implementing similar procurement initiatives.

3.4.7 Eliminate the need for training

The most effective changes to the purchasing process are changes to the purchasing structure — changes, in other words, that do not require training. In some cases, a program could benefit from a focus on removing expertise from the equation. This is accomplished by making environmentally preferable purchases the default choice. As has been a theme of this document, an overarching goal is movement to a point where the acquisition of environmentally preferable goods and services does not require specialized action.

As will be discussed more in Chapters Four and Five, business management systems are increasingly capable of supporting the goals of environmentally preferable purchasing programs. Optimizing the capabilities of these systems offers significant potential benefit to program compliance and purchasing efficiency. In cases where these systems can guide the purchaser towards a compliant product by default, the need to educate the purchaser is greatly reduced.

3.5 Case Studies

The following two case studies illustrate points described in the sections above. The United States House of Representatives case study details the benefits of linking employees to procurement experts within the organization. The European Union case study describes ‘train the trainer’ programs currently used by several E.U. countries. These programs seek to maximize the reach of training programs by instructing agency employees in how to train their colleagues – creating a multiplier effect with training resources.

3.5.1 U.S. House of Representatives: Training employees to utilize procurement office expertise

Training employees to utilize procurement office staff expertise can be an effective method of raising compliance levels. A relevant example of this idea can be found in Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory’s work with the Green the Capitol Initiative at the U.S. House of Representatives (the House). Over the course of the development of the House’s Sustainable Procurement Program, it was revealed that the procurement department was an underutilized
The procurement department\textsuperscript{25} at the House contains staff members with specialties in certain procurement areas, such as IT, vehicles, and other areas. However, it was found that in the majority of cases when a staff member would purchase an item that fell within these specialty areas, the staff member would not consult the procurement office. Those that knew the most about the products being purchased (the procurement staff) were not responsible for specifying the product’s attributes. Anecdotal evidence from conversations with procurement staff suggest that this situation may be replicated in many public procurement settings.

Coaching staff in other offices or departments to take advantage of the expertise found in procurement departments can be a valuable addition to environmentally preferable procurement training programs. Organizations often have experts that specialize in the procurement of goods such as electronics, chemicals, vehicles, etc. It is important for other staff to know they can utilize that unique expertise to make more informed procurement decisions that benefit the organization as a whole.

Lack of compliance with environmentally preferable procurement policies often stems from a lack of knowledge of the resources available to assist in that compliance. Effective training programs impart knowledge to employees and also teach them to take advantage of expertise where it already exists within the organization.

3.5.2 E.U.: Training of Trainers Programs – Creating Multiplier Effects

Training of trainers offers an opportunity to maximize limited training resources by creating a multiplier effect. The E.U. has developed such programs. In these programs, training resources are focused on a limited number of individual employees. Once those employees have completed the training process, there is an expectation that they will train others in their respective departments. “When implemented correctly, training key individuals within departments is an effective way to reach a maximum number of employees without the need for the training program to directly instruct all of those individuals.”\textsuperscript{26}

The E.U. Green Public Procurement (GPP) Training of Trainers Programme was first aimed at a very limited number of procurement experts. The training literature describes the intended audience:

These experts consist of GPP policy makers, environmental and procurement specialists who are responsible for the preparation, implementation and monitoring of GPP policies, National Action Plans (NAPs), guidance, procedures, products criteria, tendering, training and awareness raising events.

The training given to these individuals consisted of a combination of web-based and face-to face meetings covering the following topics:

1. The E.U. GPP Policy Framework and the Key Elements of GPP National Action plans.

\textsuperscript{25} Part of the Office of the Chief Administrative Officer (CAO) within the U.S. House of Representatives. The CAO is responsible for the day-to-day administrative operation of the House, of which procurement is a part.

\textsuperscript{26} Source: Email correspondence with Stephen Steele, the U.K. representative to the Super Efficiency Appliance Deployment Initiative’s Procurement Working Group. May 2011.
2. Facilitation Methods and Techniques for GPP awareness raising even/National Communication Plan (NCP).
4. The E.U. common GPP Criteria for 10 products/services categories.

The training of trainers programs teach the procurement experts training techniques alongside the policy, product criteria, and legal framework present in other training programs. This can be a very effective method of producing new trainers.

One of the main challenges of this approach is that teaching training techniques to employees does not ensure that those employees will become effective trainers themselves. Hiring trainers from outside of the organization is more costly, but it does ensure with reasonable certainty that the training sessions will be of consistent quality. While acknowledging that this is a potential barrier, representatives from the U.K. reported that in their case, the training of trainers program has been a highly successful method of reaching a maximum number of employees with limited resources.

Due to the success in training procurement employees with this technique, the U.K. is applying this training strategy beyond procurement to other public-sector policy spheres. Experiences in Sweden have been similar, with this approach seeing particular effectiveness on the municipal level. In the Swedish model, trained procurement experts from the Swedish Environmental Management Council (SEMCo) collaborate with municipal governments. As stated in a 2010 European Commission report that compared sustainable procurement programs in Europe:

SEMCo personnel deliver GPP training to around 3000 individuals annually. Online training courses for procurement officials have been created for individuals to complete in their own time. These cover an introduction to GPP and procurement law, life-cycle costing and how to set up effective criteria, as well as guidance on how to increase energy efficient procurement. In addition a helpdesk is available through telephone and email and a toolkit of training materials was in preparation at the time of writing this report.27

Utilizing existing expertise in this manner avoids the added cost of hiring external contractors and establishes internal structures that reinforce the environmentally preferable procurement program. When combined with up-to-date knowledge of applicable legislation, purchaser tools and other resources developed with the purpose of promoting GPP, the training-of-trainers approach provides an effective blueprint for training the maximum number of purchasers with limited resources.

3.6 Key Take-Aways

Several themes emerge from the chapter above:

1. **Programs should identify personnel situated at key points in the procurement process to maximize training resources.** Resources allocated for training activities may not allow for full coverage of all employees with the ability to purchase goods or services on behalf of

---

the government. Analysis should be done to prioritize the allocation of limited resources to positions and personnel within the procurement process where those resources will achieve maximum effect.

2. **Training must create the connection between policy and resources.** Training programs bridge the gap between purchasers and the resources that have been created to aid them in complying with environmentally preferable procurement policy. It is important to have robust policies and useful purchaser resources in place prior to the creation of training programs.

3. **Training resources should be modular.** Training resources maximize investment when they are easily transferred from one context to another. Modular resources that can be assembled based on the needs of the target audience make the most of limited program budgets and provide the most relevant information to trainees.

4. **Overall purchasing efficiency should be emphasized.** Environmentally preferable purchasing practices should enhance the purchaser’s workflow efficiency. Complying with policy should be an easy purchasing path, not one that requires extra work of the purchaser as compared to business as usual. A training program that asks purchasers to consider more criteria at the expense of productivity will not be successful.

5. **Exchange of training materials is an opportunity for collaboration between departments, agencies, and governments.** Training programs and associated materials are highly transferable. This presents an excellent opportunity for collaboration between departments, agencies, or governments. Collaboration reduces repetition of work and ensures consistency in what purchasers are being taught.
Chapter 4

Tracking Program Performance

4.1 Executive Summary

Key points found in this chapter:

• Accurately tracking environmentally preferable procurement progress is difficult given current data availability and business management systems.

• Current business management systems used by governments and agencies are highly fragmented, resulting in data compatibility issues and difficulty in implementing consistent tracking methods.

• Vendors can be valuable partners in data collection and analysis.

• Creating a link between overall program progress and employee performance makes tracking programs meaningful and more likely to positively influence compliance rates.

This chapter highlights some of the key barriers related to measuring program success. These challenges are discussed in section 4.3, followed by discussion of several steps that can be taken to address those challenges in section 4.4. Finally, a case study will discuss strategies used by private sector organizations, where program progress has been linked to employee performance evaluation.

4.2 Introduction

Procurement information is currently not tracked at the product level in most countries. In order to track the attributes relevant to an environmentally preferable procurement program, tracking systems must be able to accomplish the basic task of accounting for products received by the agency. Most programs can only estimate the number and type of products purchased by public entities. These estimates are performed through the analysis of limited datasets and purchaser surveys.

The scale and customary decentralization of public sector procurement has historically prevented detailed examinations of government purchasing patterns. Expenditure, volume and compliance with regulations are usually discussed as high-level estimates. Advances in enterprise business management software present opportunities to improve analysis of government procurement. These systems would provide a significant value-add to programs if they could provide meaningful feedback by highlighting areas of particular success or those lagging behind. This potential is well understood by those involved with public procurement. As will be discussed later, there seems to be a general sentiment that these platforms are underutilized or could be more useful through targeted improvements.

Why are effective tracking systems desirable? At least two issues come into play.
First, Tracking systems can provide the data necessary to evaluate program implementation and measure whether programmatic activities are meeting policy goals. You cannot manage what you do not measure. Program implementers are forced to make do with significantly less accurate feedback mechanisms (i.e., interviews, surveys, contract sampling, etc.) in order to gauge program progress. The more accurate the system of measure, the more detailed the management options offered to implementers. In turn, this affects the degree to which policy can be modified to address programmatic barriers.

Second, by connecting policy intent with implementation results, tracking systems create a relationship between action and consequence. In the absence of effective tracking mechanisms, the purchaser does not perceive a connection between his or her actions and program success. As will be discussed in a case study below, private sector organizations are beginning to tie sustainability criteria into employee evaluations. Accomplishing this requires accurate, measurable data to measure that employee’s success. Tracking systems can create this link and foster a sense of ownership on the part of employees. When purchasers sense this link, they will be more likely to comply with policy. In other words, tracking systems do not only allow for compliance evaluation, they can directly raise compliance rates.

Third, tracking systems provide the foundation for compliance enforcement mechanisms to function. Currently, compliance with environmentally preferable procurement policies is effectively voluntary even in countries that have passed legally binding policy. What compliance data are available demonstrate that these laws are frequently violated or ignored without clear consequence, rendering the policy’s legal status irrelevant. At least in some part, this is attributable to a lack of tracking systems capable of providing data that could be used to hold employees responsible for compliance.

### 4.3 Issues to Consider

There are significant barriers related to altering or replacing business management systems. Legacy systems are difficult to modify and expensive to replace. In addition, the effectiveness of new systems can be affected by the need (whether perceived or actual) to preserve compatibilities with legacy data formats. These are just several of the barriers to realizing the benefits of systems more able to support program goals.

Despite these barriers, there are compelling reasons for programs to evaluate their current systems. The following basic questions can help guide discussion:

1. How does the current system compliment program objectives?
2. How *could* the current system compliment program objectives?
3. What abilities would an *ideal* system add?
4. What are the costs (money, time, business process impact, etc.) to implementing that ideal system?

Careful planning is required to put a meaningful system in place that will perform effectively over time. The following sub-sections highlight selected issues associated with tracking program progress. Most, but not all, can be traced back to procurement management systems.

#### 4.3.1 Tracking meaningful quantities of procurements

Procurements take place through a variety of pathways. In the public sector, many goods and
services are provided to agencies as a part of larger service contracts. For instance, an agency may have a contract for information technology services, which includes both the provision of equipment (computers, servers, printers, etc.) and the technical support related to those products. The contract may be saved in the agency’s procurement records. However, the items provided through that contract may not be tracked by the same system. In some cases, the individual contractor may be able to provide information regarding products provided to the agency. In other cases, product information may simply be unavailable.

This diversity of procurement methods makes it very difficult for programs to accurately track all purchased products or services. In addition, different procurement methods may result in the utilization of different business management systems. This fragmentation contributes to the difficulty in obtaining agency- and government-wide procurement data. Tracking systems must account for all of these pathways in order to be effective.

Public procurement programs should strive to track meaningful numbers of procurements across different procurement methods. Tracking on an individual basis may not be necessary in all cases. A government or agency may decide that the cost associated with implementing a system with this capability outweighs the benefits. However, all programs should seek to sample different methods of acquisition in order to accurately judge program progress and compliance rates.

4.3.2 Tracking applicable energy and environmental attributes

Our research indicates that most business management systems do not currently track environmental criteria. In practical terms this means that there are no database fields that indicate which environmental product criteria, if any, were included in a contract. Further, there are no standard ways to access this information from vendors. This makes evaluating contracts for compliance a labor-intensive process, where government staff or contractors must examine individual contract solicitations. Any effort to evaluate the status of a program is then a time- and resource-intensive process. In the absence of comprehensive product- and contract-level data, program compliance studies rely on a combination of surveys and solicitation analysis. The combination of these methods is the primary means of tracking program progress over time in most countries.

It is compelling to have a tightly controlled method for survey administration and data analysis so that results will reveal meaningful trends in program compliance. Particularly in cases where requirements are consistent across regions (such as the E.U.), there is benefit to using a consistent survey method that can be repeated both on a regional and national level, and through multiple iterations over time. The absence of this consistency results in data fragmentation. An ability to meaningfully compare results over time is crucial. Programs could benefit in developing standardized survey and solicitation evaluations forms.

Programs could also benefit from closer integration of evaluation results and follow-up action. As will be discussed later in this chapter, the private sector has begun to tie performance on sustainability initiatives with overall performance evaluation. There may be opportunities for the public sector to use the data resulting from these evaluations in a similar way, both increasing
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28 Procurement department staff are the main targets of these surveys.
their value and generating investment in their results.

### 4.3.3 Most tracking systems are limited to high-value contracts

In the U.S. and the E.U., contracts that exceed certain dollar values\(^ {29}\) must be reported to central agencies.\(^ {30}\) These reports are among the only consistent sources of data available for analysis. As such, they are a central piece of current compliance analysis efforts. However, these reporting mechanisms capture a limited number of contracts, and the associated analysis is challenging for reasons previously described.

The original purpose of mandatory reporting requirements was to ensure that contracts were awarded fairly through vendor competition, not to evaluate compliance with other government programs. These requirements exist independent of sustainable procurement programs. Therefore, they may not be well structured to enable analysis of program compliance rates and may not require the collection of relevant environmental attributes.

As a result, analysis of compliance with environmentally preferable purchasing policy based on these high-value contracts is difficult. In order to assess compliance rates, contracts are often manually examined for specific language. This is labor-intensive and therefore not often done. Due to these limitations, compliance evaluation based on high-value contracts should be viewed only as a stepping stone to more complete tracking systems, not a complete solution.

The percentage of total procurement volume captured by contracts that must be reported to central agencies is unclear. As a corollary, the percentage of energy-using or otherwise environmentally relevant products and services captured by these systems is also very difficult to determine. The absence of this information means that it is difficult to make sweeping statements about the state of a program based on these contracts.

### 4.3.4 Business management systems are not consistent across agencies

Business management systems are regularly identified as having the potential to significantly lower transaction costs while increasing compliance rates. The consolidation of what were formerly many separate paper-based processes into one (or several) electronic system(s) would seem to achieve this potential by default. However, several barriers still exist that prevent these systems from raising compliance rates for environmentally preferable procurement. One of the issues is the variation in business management systems within single agencies or departments. Another related issue is the differing capabilities these systems posses to support program goals.

Business management systems are the face of the procurement process to purchasers. These systems directly affect perceptions of whether or not sustainable procurement is an agency priority. If a given system does not provide an ability to integrate environmentally preferable procurement criteria or other information, it is unlikely that the end-user will consider those criteria to be a priority. Simply identifying the existing capabilities of different systems in operation across the public sector would be a valuable first step in this understanding the extent to which different systems can support program goals.
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\(^{29}\) $25,000 for the United States, and approximately 240,000 euro for the EU

\(^{30}\) FedBizOps in the case of the US, and the European Commission in the case of the EU
Variation in business management systems hinders analysis of program progress by making it difficult to obtain basic information regarding government purchasing patterns. In the U.S., for example, conversations with agency representatives indicate that there is no standardized method for system selection or implementation. A lack of consistency in systems results in a lack of consistency in the data produced by those systems. In turn, this hinders analysis efforts. The lack of standardization makes it effectively impossible to create a consolidated understanding of government purchasing patterns.

This lack of standardization undoubtedly exists in other countries as well. We believe it is a significant contributing factor to the paucity of procurement data collection and analysis. A survey of what systems are currently in use, and their capabilities could be a good first step in understanding this issue. Once variety and capability are better understood, environmental procurement programs can begin to identify requirements that agency procurement systems should fulfill.

An extension of this information-gathering effort is the creation of standardized performance criteria for agency or department business management systems. The creation of these criteria (to be used in the procurement of these systems) would help send a unified signal to vendors that these capabilities are a government priority. This is a market transformation opportunity for programs.

4.3.5 Independent market trends have an impact on compliance rates

Program compliance rates increase as the market begins to naturally adopt preferred products or service requirements. As environmentally preferable products become more available, they are more likely to be purchased. Environmentally preferable attributes are also more likely to propagate through the market. For example, many manufacturers in the U.S. view the ENERGY STAR logo as something that most customers have come to expect. Therefore, government purchasers are likely to procure items that comply with these aspects of policies, because the market is more saturated with compliant products.

This trend has positive effects on government procurement programs for products that fall under popular eco-labels. The goal of any procurement program is to make compliance the default action. However, this effect may also be responsible for inflating compliance attributable to program actions. In other words, market forces that enable default compliance can make programs appear successful in spite of the fact that the increase in market penetration may not be attributable to the program itself. This speaks to the importance of coordination with national and regional labeling programs in program design. Programs should take advantage of other initiatives that have complimentary goals in order to send a unified signal to the market where possible.

4.4 First/Key Steps

The following section describes several initial steps that are key to implementing an effective program progress tracking process. The discussion below focuses on methods to improve data reliability and create the link between tracking systems and procurement staff.

4.4.1 Link tracking initiatives and performance targets

Countries with established environmentally preferable procurement programs have not shied away from setting aggressive program targets. For example, in 2008 the European Commission
set a goal that by 2010, 50% of public procurement would meet the E.U.’s voluntary GPP (Green Public Procurement) criteria. However, this target, and others like it, was set with no established infrastructure that could be used to verify compliance rates on either an individual country or across the region. This raises a general point: in the absence of tracking infrastructure capable of accounting for procurement criteria, quantitative program goals can signal intent but not ensure accountability for their achievement.

A lack of tracking mechanisms in business management systems precludes a realistic assignment of responsibility to agencies and individuals for the achievement of performance targets. At the same time, without signaling intent to establish quantitative performance targets, there is little incentive to use tracking systems. There is value in maintaining broad quantitative targets in order to encourage the adoption of systems with the ability to accurately track their progress. Further, even in the absence of robust tracking systems, it is important to implement some means of tracking program progress and employee compliance.

There is a need to transfer responsibility for achieving program targets to managers and employees. Given the current state of tracking capabilities, systems with the ability to accurately track meaningful quantities of procurements, meeting environmentally preferable procurement criteria, may be many years off. In the absence of such capabilities, is worthwhile to pursue qualitative performance targets. In our research, we have noted that the private sector is aggressively pursuing corporate sustainability goals, with a focus on achieving high rates of accountability among executives and managers. Experience in the private sector has shown that even qualitative evaluation criteria may be a successful means of improving compliance. (See case study below for more details.)

Staff performance criteria in procurement departments require re-examination. Frequently, the overriding performance evaluation standard in procurement departments is volume and efficiency (time per award). In other words, speed is the primary emphasis, while environmental performance is one secondary consideration among many. Programs should seek to integrate procurement ‘quality’ into performance evaluations in a way that clearly indicates that life-cycle cost and other environmentally preferable considerations are a priority. If performance with regard to these other metrics has no impact on overall employee evaluation, programs should not expect high compliance rates.

4.4.2 Do not rely solely on contract language evaluation

Program tracking should report data that provide a meaningful snapshot of program progress. The most effective tracking initiatives allow measurement of program success and identify specific areas needing improvement. Due to the current difficulty associated with tracking individual purchases, some environmentally preferable purchasing programs have instituted contract-level evaluation. In addition to contract evaluation, some governments require agencies to provide written narratives detailing procurement program progress. This type of reporting can be a useful evaluation mechanism in the absence of more accurate tracking capabilities.


32 Representative of total agency & government-wide procurement.
Solicitation analysis can also provide a snapshot of program progress. One drawback of this method is the time lag from the initiation of the analysis and the delivery of the results. Analyzing contracts is time-consuming. Using the contract analysis method, a sample of contracts must be analyzed by government staff or contractors to determine whether or not certain language was included. The resulting protracted analysis time prevents program managers from making short-term adjustments to program strategy. Further, by definition, this process can only evaluate procurements that have taken place in the past. There is no process available to identify and correct current or future problems.

While contract evaluation can make up an important part of a performance tracking strategy, steps should be taken to fill the gaps inherent in this sort of analysis. Requiring some sort of written evaluation of agency-level progress is one method of determining what is happening “on the ground” in addition to in the contract language. Purchaser surveys combined with evaluations of lower-value contracts is an additional method of evaluating the reach of procurement policy. Programs must balance available resources and data limitations with a desire to perform meaningful analysis. Contract level evaluation strategies can serve as one means of achieving this balance; however, they should ideally be a component of a wider tracking strategy.

### 4.4.3 Ensure data consistency

Due to shifting budgets, priorities, and other factors, many programs have difficulty maintaining a consistent evaluation strategy. In order to judge the effectiveness of new policies, purchaser resources, or behavior change initiatives, tracking methods should remain consistent over time. The data collected must remain consistent as well. Frequent changes in tracking methods or data collected (e.g., changing language in purchaser surveys) make it impossible to accurately identify trends. Different methods of program evaluation can produce altered pictures of program success. Programs should work to ensure consistency in data collection and survey administration. This is particularly important when different contractors are employed to perform evaluation studies from year to year.

### 4.4.4 Work with vendors to obtain data

Vendors, responding to pressure from public and private sector consumers, are increasingly tracking environmental attributes associated with their products and services. In the United States, for example, some agencies have begun to integrate requirements for vendors to report on the environmental attributes of products sold to the agency. This requirement takes a large data collection and analysis burden off of the agency, while at the same time leveraging the capabilities that some vendors already possess.

The main challenge in this arrangement is transferring the data from the vendor to department or agency. Differences in business management systems can result in data conversion challenges. In addition to evaluating the capabilities of internal procurement systems, government agencies should begin to evaluate the capabilities of vendors’ systems. Governments have a unique opportunity to develop, define, and disseminate data reporting standards.

### 4.5 Case-Study – Lessons learned from the private sector

Just as we have found in the public sector, the private sector is struggling to achieve high rates of compliance with sustainability initiatives. In addition, the private sector shares the public sector’s dilemma regarding best way to measure program progress. However, our preliminary research in
this area has shown that the private sector is experimenting with incentive schemes much more actively than the public sector. This experimentation may in turn lead to higher compliance rates and clearer indicators of individual and program-level success.

Knowledge of sustainability is becoming an increasingly important trait for company CEOs. Private sector corporations are beginning to directly link progress on sustainability initiatives to executive pay. A study cited by the World Business Council for Sustainable Development states that, “29% of the largest listed companies in Europe include ESG (environmental, social and governance) factors in their executive remuneration policies.”

Performance evaluation that includes compliance with sustainability initiatives does not need to be limited to the executive level. Private sector companies are developing methods to evaluate managers at all levels on their compliance with social and environmental initiatives.

One of the more interesting aspects of this move in the private sector is a deviation from performance criteria that can be easily and objectively (i.e., quantitatively) evaluated. The WBCSD report asserts that with “incentives [that] are only limited to quantifiable performance indicators, there is a danger of missing out [on] the most important factors.” In other words, the WBCSD is indicating that despite the difficulties with precisely measuring progress on sustainability initiatives, it is still valuable to include those criteria in the evaluation of managers.

4.6 Key Take-Aways

Several themes emerge from the above chapter:

1. **Effective tracking programs can determine and improve compliance rates.** Tracking programs create a link between program success and individual performance. This link helps employees feel as though they have a stake in the success of the program, and holds them accountable for their actions.

2. **Compliance rates are difficult to determine accurately.** Due to the current capabilities of business management systems and data collection methods, compliance rates are determined by approximation based on contract sampling, purchaser surveys, and procurement volume estimation.

3. **Contract language evaluation can provide a useful snapshot of compliance, but they should be supplemented by other methods for a more complete picture.** Sampling high-value procurement contracts for applicable environmentally preferable procurement criteria is a popular method of estimating compliance rates. This method omits substantial portions of total government procurement activity and should be considered a stepping-stone to a more robust tracking strategy.

4. **Emerging information technologies provide an opportunity for all programs to enhance tracking capabilities.** Rapidly evolving tracking software presents opportunities for all
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procurement programs to re-examine current systems. Updated and consolidated systems, when implemented effectively, will have a positive impact on procurement efficiency and program tracking capabilities.
Chapter 5

Analyzing Procurement Workflows & Pathways

5.1 Executive Summary

Important points found in this chapter:

- Many program resources\(^{35}\) intended to help buyers comply with environmentally preferable procurement policy are designed without detailed knowledge of the procurement process.

- A deeper understanding of purchasing pathways will allow current resources to be better directed. It will also allow more-effective resources to be developed in the future.

This chapter will begin with by framing the concept of procurement pathways and discussing related issues. This will be followed by a discussion of several initial steps programs can take to develop a better understanding of procurement pathways. This understanding should inform the deployment of program resources. The chapter will conclude with several case studies. These case studies both highlight issues stemming from a lack of procurement process knowledge and examine methods of increasing understanding in this area.

5.2 Introduction

Government buyers have an array of purchasing vehicles available. Depending on the type of product or service being procured, any one of the following vehicles may be used to make a procurement:

1. Purchase orders
2. Purchase cards
3. Framework (blanket) purchasing agreements
4. Centralized buying websites

\(^{35}\) In this chapter, a resource is defined as any tool (life-cycle cost calculator, product comparison aid, purchasing assistant, etc.) or piece of information (purchasing guidance document, web-portal designed for purchasers, etc.) developed or used by a program with the intent of aiding purchasers in making purchase decisions in compliance with program goals.
5. Collaborative procurements
6. Bulk procurements
7. Technology procurements
8. Energy Savings Performance Contract (ESPC)

Each of these vehicles has a unique procurement pathway. A procurement pathway begins with the decision to purchase. It ends with the verified receipt of the desired product or service. The pathways between these two points differ depending on the procurement vehicle.

It is important to discard the notion that every purchase is similar and can therefore be influenced using identical methods. When programs group all purchasing vehicles together, the result is an inefficient use of resources. By developing “one size fits all” strategies, programs assume universal applicability but achieve only a fraction of their intended impact. Different pathways contain unique critical decision points. It is at these unique points that programs can most effectively influence purchasing decisions.

Relatively little research has been done in this area, and the details of these different purchasing methods are generally unclear. This chapter is intended to provide insight into the opportunities made available through an increased understanding of procurement pathways. It also offers general suggestions regarding the targeting of several known nodes that likely affect a variety of procurement pathways.

5.3 Issues to Consider

The following topics represent several issues that contribute to ineffective resource design or deployment. Many of these issues stem from the fact that each individual resource is seen as a discrete deliverable, created by a government employee or contractor. The development and evaluation of that resource effectively ends upon delivery. This results in a lack of understanding of that resource’s true effectiveness in the field.

The landscape of currently available purchaser resources suggests that many programs are taking similar approaches to the development of those resources. Resources (cost calculators in particular) are developed for use at the point of purchase. However, it is not clear whether these resources actually mesh well with the purchasing workflow they are intended to influence (i.e., does the purchaser see a benefit to making use of the resource?) The consideration of applicable procurement structures and pathways in the development cycle will result in more effective resource development by helping programs determine where resources can be utilized most effectively.

5.3.1 Resources should be developed with purchasing workflows in mind

An example highlighting the assumption of universal resource applicability is the design of many cost calculator tools. These tools are similar in structure across national programs. Cost calculators are often developed for products with predictable end-use patterns and energy consumption, such as lighting, HVAC equipment, and food-service products. Due to these product characteristics, cost calculators can be used to estimate lifetime operating costs, the total cost of ownership, and the benefit of improved energy efficiency. Cost calculators are designed to help purchasers make effective life-cycle cost (LCC) based decisions when buying energy-consuming products.
When tested outside of the context of a procurement workflow, cost calculators are usually easy to use. They can effectively differentiate between the life-cycle costs of the products being considered. Cost calculators are therefore seen as an aid to overcome the bias towards purchasing the least expensive product rather than the product with the lowest LCC.

On the other hand, examining cost calculators within the context of procurement workflows reveals a potential problem. Procurement workflows tend to be highly structured. The context in which a buyer operates discourages deviation from this structure. Typically, the way cost calculators are made available to buyers requires the buyer to step outside the structured workflow. Access to calculators is typically provided to buyers via a website or spreadsheet. These resources are often separate from the standard tools used by the buyer (the business management systems). The assumption that buyers will use a separate resource fails to account for the influence of workflow structure. While the information that is provided by these calculators may be valuable, buyers often cannot or will not use it. Anecdotal evidence strongly suggests that procurement structure trumps the requirement to take the additional step of using this purchasing aid.

The development of stand-alone cost calculators without a proper understanding of procurement pathways is an example of ineffective resource investment. A better understanding of the context of procurement would allow cost calculators to be better integrated into the purchasing workflow. The first step in this process is developing a better understanding of where the resource is intended for use; i.e., which point in the purchasing path. The second step is examining what is being asked of the employee at that point in the purchasing path in order to use that resource. Is that individual being asked to deviate from their established workflow? If so, does that deviation make the procurement process less efficient? These considerations can aid in the deployment or re-deployment of purchaser resources.

5.3.2 Business management systems help define procurement pathways

To varying degrees, countries with well-developed environmentally preferable acquisition programs are moving towards public acquisition based primarily on electronic business management systems. E.U. countries in particular are rapidly implementing eProcurement solutions. As part of the E.U.’s i2010 eGovernment Action plan, “The Member States have undertaken to give their public administrations the capability to carry out 100% of their procurement electronically. In particular, this means ensuring that at least 50% of procurement above the E.C. threshold…is carried out electronically by 2010.”

The following diagram, prepared for the U.K. Office of Government Commerce, shows a high-level view of procurement paths for low-, medium-, and high-value acquisitions. The extent to which the procurement process is reliant on electronic systems is shown by the figure.

Figure 2. U.K. OGC Guidance on e-Procurement.

Figure 2 shows that the purchasing process can easily involve two or more electronic systems, depending on value level and acquisition method. This example from the U.K. is paralleled in the United States. In the US a single federal agency may often use multiple systems-based solutions depending on the type of procurement being executed. Electronic business management solutions are ubiquitous.

These business management systems embody the structured workflow for employees. The systems guide the procurement process and influence the user’s perception of the relative importance of policies. For example, a system will often require a user to indicate whether or not a vendor is a small or medium sized enterprise (SME). It is unusual for a user to be prompted to confirm that a procurement is compliant with environmentally preferable procurement standards. In such cases, environmental considerations will be secondary to SME criteria in the eyes of the user, even if they are equivalent in terms of purchasing policy. What business management systems do and do not present to the user directly influences the criteria the purchaser views as important. Programs should take this into account when developing specifications for these systems.

5.3.3 Business management systems impact compliance rates

The particular system(s) used in the procurement process determine what information is available, acted upon, and stored at each stage of the acquisition cycle. If information relevant to environmentally preferable purchasing is not integrated into the business management system, policies based on those criteria cannot influence the purchasing process. For example, the US Defense Logistics Agency (DLA) uses an electronic tool to produce standard procurement contracts. The tool has not (as of January 2012) been updated to include the FAR clause mandating the acquisition of life-cycle cost effective products. Therefore, contracts produced using this tool could not readily meet the requirement for procurement of energy-efficient products mandated by the U.S. government. Changing the tool to include this clause by default would bring it into alignment with federal procurement requirements and enhance compliance with environmentally preferable procurement policies.
Business management systems can enhance environmentally preferable purchasing by capturing more data, integrating LCC calculators, or placing automatic notices, or even restrictions, on procurements that do not meet requirements. A 2011 study of U.K. purchasers found that many procurement employees believed that the capabilities of business management systems to support program goals were not being fully realized. Specifically, the survey asked the question: “Do you think your current IT systems or databases could be changed to allow you to capture (more) sustainable procurement information?” In response, 76% of organizations expressed the belief that systems were underutilized and could capture more information. Only 14% of respondents indicated that doing so would be difficult to implement or require a new system. These results show that, at least as perceived by procurement employees, significant gains are possible and readily available given current system capabilities.

5.3.4 Buyers are only part of the procurement process

Buyers are an important part of the procurement path, but they control only a portion of the process. Other groups or individuals also influence purchasing decisions (specifiers and end-users, for example). These actors may be outside of the standard procurement workflow but are still important influencers of what is ultimately bought. Programs should recognize that these outside actors play key roles in defining procurement pathways. Identifying the groups or individuals who drive purchasing decisions is an important component of understanding procurement pathways.

In Chapter Two, we discussed the development of performance specifications for environmentally preferable products. Program implementers who create these performance standards are typically outside of the everyday procurement workflow, but they have a significant impact on the procurement process. In most cases, those responsible for maintaining product specifications do not take part in the contractual process of acquiring a product or service. They are not typically direct users of procurement systems. The performance criteria mandated in product specifications can make the purchasing process either more efficient or more cumbersome, depending on how well they match with the purchaser’s current information-gathering capabilities. For example, an efficient purchasing workflow will result if a specification is based on criteria readily available to the purchaser (and the business management system). If the specification requires the purchaser to go outside currently used systems to confirm certain criteria, an inefficient purchasing workflow (or outright non-compliance) will result. This example speaks to the importance of procurement pathways. The procurement pathway defines the process and what is compatible with that process. When each actor is more aware of the position and capabilities of other program components, more efficient workflows with higher compliance rates will result.

5.3.5 Contract templates drive large numbers of purchases

In most cases, contracts are written based on standardized contract templates. The structure of these templates and the wording of their clauses help define the standard terms of procurement. Linking policy to standard contract language is a key step in implementing government and

---

agency programs. Contract templates serve as an intermediary between policy and contract language for standard procurements. A purchase naturally assumes the contract terms contained in templates are in compliance with applicable policy. Hence, updating the templates used by procurement staff influences a wide range of contracts by default.

In their report on agency compliance with energy-efficient procurement laws in the U.S., the Alliance to Save Energy (ASE) interviewed federal purchasers and asked questions regarding their procurement practices. The ASE found that none of the personnel interviewed “used a [policy-mandated] standard clause to ensure energy-efficient purchases....” These responses indicate that standard contract language is not in sync with procurement policies in the U.S. While the official policy provided a standard clause, the contract templates actually used by procurement employees had not been updated to include it.

This example highlights an issue with decentralized procurement structures. In a decentralized approach to public procurement, maintenance of contract templates occurs at the agency or department level. Standard language is mandated at the national level, but it is up to individual agencies or departments to integrate this language with its own separately maintained templates.

The location of contract templates, and their relative degree of centrality and accessibility, is highly dependent on the type of business management system being used. On one side of the spectrum, contract templates may be stored centrally, accessible to all procurement staff. In this scenario, updating contract templates to reflect national government laws and agency-level policies should be relatively straightforward. On the other hand, for agencies with business management systems without the ability to store contract templates, or agencies still using legacy processes for some or all forms of procurement, aggregating and updating templates is a significantly more daunting task.

This subject could benefit from additional research in order to better determine how national government programs address the issue of updating contract templates. There is a spectrum of methods for both storing and updating contract templates to accurately reflect procurement laws and agency procurement goals. If countries or agencies have developed protocols for updating these templates to accurately reflect changes in procurement law, the process used would be valuable information to distribute to the broader procurement community.

5.4 First Steps

The issues discussed above illustrate the importance of understanding procurement pathways. The following suggested initial steps will help programs begin the process of understanding procurement pathways. Many countries have developed a wealth of procurement tools, purchaser references, and other support mechanisms. The next step for many programs is enhancing the effectiveness of those already-developed resources through an understanding of procurement pathways.
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5.4.1 Develop resources based upon purchaser workflows

Programs struggle with a low uptake of resources developed for purchasers. Specific numbers are difficult to obtain, but the general consensus among those involved with government programs is “resources are not used as often as we would like.” As discussed in previous sections, purchasers have indicated in surveys that they frequently do not know that resources exist, or do not know how to use them properly. As we have seen, resources developed for purchasers do not always provide information that fits within the buyer’s standard workflow. These factors all contribute to low usage rates for cost calculators, purchaser guides, and other tools.

This problem of resource underutilization can be attributed to a number of factors. Perhaps the most important factor is a lack of integration of these tools into purchaser workflows. Current use rates make it clear that purchasers are not sufficiently motivated to voluntarily seek out the resources intended to aid in their compliance with environmentally preferable purchasing policies.

The purchasing process follows a standard workflow. When the flow is interrupted, inefficiency is introduced and purchasers are less likely to take that additional step in order to be in compliance with policy. As was discussed in Chapter One, the degree to which these policies are voluntary or mandatory also seems to have little effect on purchaser willingness to deviate from their normal purchasing processes. This indicates that programs need to bring resources to the purchaser instead of expecting purchasers to seek those resources out voluntarily.

In order to bring more effective resources to purchasers, programs should strive to integrate resources with existing purchaser workflows. The resources developed to facilitate sustainable acquisition are typically intended to stand alone. In most cases, they are placed either on a public website or internal intranet. Purchasers are directed to these resources when making acquisitions that fall within covered product categories. A more thorough understanding of business management systems could lead to integration of these resources with the systems purchasers use when making procurements. In addition to the likely effect of increasing compliance, this would also increase purchasing efficiency by eliminating the need for a procurement employee to navigate to a web resource, and switch back and forth between that resource and their business management system. In the absence of complete integration, programs could benefit from creating standard resource development criteria that more closely matches the workflow as dictated by the business management systems.

5.4.2 Evaluate resource effectiveness

In most situations, the development and testing of purchaser resource ends when the tool is deployed. Programs operate under the assumption that purchasers will utilize tools that are successful in the test environment. As has been discussed in previous sections, anecdotal and survey evidence suggests that these tools are underutilized, even when they perform the desired task in the test environment. This speaks to a general need to evaluate tools and other resources following their field deployment.

For the most part, programs do not attempt to evaluate the effectiveness and use rates of resources once they are released. The usage statistics currently available are based on very generalized survey questions such as, “do you regularly use cost calculators?” Such survey questions help indicate general usage levels for tools as a whole. They do not help programs
evaluate the effectiveness of specific tools, or groups of tools. Programs should implement
detailed evaluations of resource performance and usage rates post-delivery. Using information
gained from these evaluations, resources can be either re-directed or re-developed to better suit
their place within the procurement pathway.

5.4.3 Harness collective buying power

Centralized buying solutions can be an effective means of reducing redundancy in purchasing
pathways. This purchasing strategy also leverages the effective buying power of multiple
government agencies. In addition, focusing program resources on a consolidated pathway can
raise compliance with environmentally preferable procurement policies. Drawing on the
experience with central procurement in the U.S., we identified two key criteria that centralized
procurement systems should meet in order to achieve maximum effectiveness. The system
should:

1. Be a primary purchasing pathway.
2. Offer only products that comply with all procurement policies.

If these criteria are met, it is likely that centralized procurement systems can be a viable means of
reducing cost while increasing compliance rates. However, savings are not guaranteed solely
because a centralized system exists. As is the case in the U.S., centralized systems can be one
option for purchasers among many. When this is the case, the benefits of centralized
procurement are not fully realized. In order to maximize the benefits attributable to collective
buying power, centralized solutions should form a significant portion of total procurement.

5.5 Case Studies

The following three case studies illustrate several of the above points. The U.K.’s efforts to
centralize procurement demonstrate the potential benefits to leveraging government buying
power. The U.S. purchasing card example shows the necessary procurement pathway
considerations with this highly popular decentralized purchasing strategy. The final case study
introduces current procurement pathways research underway in the U.S.

5.5.1 U.K. efforts to centralize procurement

The U.K. has announced its intention to move to a more centralized approach to public
procurement. One of the main drivers for this policy was the identification of instances where
agencies (or the same agency) were buying identical products or services at wildly different
prices. As cited in a report by the National Audit Office, titled, “A review of collaborative
procurement across the public sector:”

Public bodies are paying a wide range of prices for the same commodities, even within
the existing collaborative arrangements. There was a 116 per cent variation between the
lowest and highest prices paid for the same broad specification of paper. The difference
was 169 per cent for LCD computer monitors and 745 per cent for black toner
cartridges.40

40 A review of collaborative procurement across the public sector. United Kingdom National Audit Office.
December 7, 2012.
These cost differences point to significant waste attributable to poor contract negotiation, lack of adequate competition for certain goods/services, and a failure to leverage the collective buying power of the government.

In reaction to these findings, and pressed by the general need to trim government spending, the U.K. is aggressively promoting collaborative procurement among agencies. One of the first and most successful instances of collaborative procurement in the U.K. was energy purchase. The Office of Government Commerce expects “almost a third of hundreds of millions of pounds worth of savings from energy buying to be a direct result of collaboration.”

The U.K. government has consolidated its energy procurement contracts and is now seeking to harness its buying power to purchase directly from generators. It is this type of savings that the government hopes to translate to the procurement of other goods and services.

The creation of a new central buying website (Government eMarketplace) is prominent in these efforts. This online service will provide buyers with pre-negotiated contracts for commonly purchased goods and services. The contracts have been pre-determined to offer best value-for-money to the government. As this site launches, it will be useful to follow up with representatives from the U.K. both to verify if the expected cost savings were realized and to identify lessons learned emerging from the implementation process.

**5.5.2 Purchase cards in the U.S. federal government**

Purchase cards are a popular method of acquiring low-cost, commonly purchased items in the U.S. Equivalent purchasing methods can be found in many other countries. These cards function in the same way as a consumer credit card but with restrictions. They can only be used for certain agency- or department-approved purchases. Purchasing card use has become widespread in the U.S. over the past two decades. Originally identified as a method to reduce per-transaction costs, the use of purchase cards is estimated to save the federal government approximately 1.4 billion dollars in administrative overhead annually. This considerable savings is realized by limiting the number of steps from need identification to order placement. Prior to the advent of purchase cards, individual purchase approval was required in nearly all cases, even for small, incidental purchases. This process resulted in high administrative costs compared to the relatively low value of the products being purchased. The reduction in those per-transaction costs made purchase cards extremely attractive when they were introduced in the late 1980s.

A report produced for the U.S. General Services Administration in 2006 demonstrates the rapid uptake in purchase card use in the U.S. federal government. The top chart in figure 3 below shows the dramatic growth in the number of transactions made using purchase cards from 1989 to 2005. The lower chart in figure 3 shows the number of federal employees authorized to use the cards, which grew exponentially until 2000. The drop after 2000 is explained by a change in

---


42 This figure was given by GSA Assistant Commissioner Neal Fox during congressional testimony in 2004 based on FY2003 data. Quoted in *The Federal Purchase Card: Use, Policy and Best Practice.* AGA CPAG Research Series: Report No. 4. April, 2006.

43 The per-purchase limit for US purchase cards is typically set at $2,500 dollars, which effectively limits their use to small procurements.
government policy that consolidated the number of individuals approved for purchase card use in each agency.

Figure 3: Purchase Card Use Trends in the U.S.  

Purchase cards have generated considerable interest among those involved in environmentally preferable purchasing programs. The primary interest centers on what data (if any) can be retrieved when this purchasing option is used. Two related questions have emerged as topics for further research:

1. How can agencies leverage the data resulting from purchase card use (collected by the issuing bank) to enhance tracking capabilities and facilitate compliance with program policies?

2. How can effective restrictions be placed on purchase cards without compromising the reduction in administrative costs that makes them an attractive purchasing vehicle?

As purchase cards function nearly identically to consumer credit cards, the data stored by the issuing bank is also similar. There has been general interest in the U.S. to investigate the possibility of collecting this data from the banks for internal analysis of purchasing patterns, and potentially, to evaluate compliance rates. This idea has been met with resistance, mainly due to the cost of retrieving the data. Even in an ideal case, where line-item levels of detail could be retrieved, it is highly unlikely they would contain attribute data that could be useful in evaluating compliance with procurement policy. Information retrieved from issuing banks could yield useful data (such as volumes/values of purchases in general categories), but this method should not be expected to function as a sole method of meeting wider tracking needs associated with this procurement pathway.

There is an alternative method of leveraging purchase card data that may require less processing.

---

effort. Issuing banks are able to group purchases into broad categories (transport, food, office supply, etc.). Banks typically provide breakdowns of these categories to consumers free of charge. If the same could be done for government purchase card users, those data could be used to tailor training and resources based on individual cardholder purchasing patterns. In addition, procurement supervisors could use this data to verify that their employees are making purchases in the areas where they have sufficient expertise.

This last point leads into the second question posed above regarding what restrictions can be placed on purchase cards without diminishing the efficiency they bring to the purchase process. The standard limit on purchase cards in the U.S. is $2,500 per transaction. Some agencies have placed restrictions on which cardholders can buy certain categories of goods or services in addition to this dollar limit. Unfortunately, it is unlikely that these restrictions could be applied to the purchase of energy-using products. Due to the limited nature of the line-item data, it would be difficult to restrict purchasing based on attributes relevant to environmentally preferable purchasing programs. As electronic capabilities continue to advance, this may be worth discussing with the issuing banks in the future.

Restrictions on purchase cards are probably best handled at the point of purchase. Purchase cards are frequently used to buy goods and services from central purchasing websites (such as GSA Advantage! in the U.S.) Centralized purchasing sites present a challenge. By design, these sites offer goods and services that are ‘pre-approved’ for government buyers. However, examination reveals that products are frequently listed that do not meet mandated performance levels. Upstream improvement in this area – limiting the models for sale to compliant ones – would result in a significant increase in compliance rates for purchases made through the purchase card pathway.

5.5.3 Current procurement pathways research

As has been discussed, there has been little research into exactly how procurement pathways are structured. It is generally understood that there are multiple types of purchasing vehicles that can be used when making a procurement. Different purchasing vehicles may be easier or more difficult to use for given product types. Different sets of actors are likely involved depending on the procurement pathway used. What is not understood are the specific impacts of these differences on the ability of environmentally preferable procurement policies to achieve changes in purchasing outcomes. How can government procurement policies effectively achieve lasting change in actual purchasing decisions?

The United States’ Federal Energy Management Program (FEMP) has begun asking these questions. For the first time, procurement officials are being interviewed to describe the procurement pathways they use for the ENERGY STAR and FEMP-designated products covered by FEMP’s purchasing program.45 Buyers are explaining exactly how they use tools to try to comply with the myriad procurement regulations that they face. This understanding of how buyers are making their choices is expected to lead to a better process for changing those choices.

Investing in this level of research effort is expensive; however, the results are expected to

45 For a list of product categories covered by the federal procurement requirements for energy-efficient products, see http://www1.eere.energy.gov/femp/pdfs/eeprod_categories.pdf
significantly improve FEMP’s ability to change U.S. federal buying practices. Through a more complete understanding of the structured workflows used in federal procurement, FEMP will be able to better develop future resources and to enhance the effectiveness of existing tools.

5.6 Key Take-Aways

1. Purchasing workflows direct purchasers to follow structured procurement pathways. Purchasers are not encouraged to deviate from well-established procurement structures. They are trained to stay within them. Environmentally preferable procurement programs should not expect purchasers to deviate from this structure in order to satisfy a single policy requirement.

2. Resources designed for use outside of set pathways will be unsuccessful. Many resources are currently designed and tested outside of the context of how they will be used once they are deployed. This leads to the development of ineffective tools and other resources that are, in turn, underutilized by procurement staff. Resources should be developed with an understanding of procurement pathways and integrated at specific points of influence. In addition, they should be evaluated following delivery to ensure effectiveness.

3. Business management systems present opportunities for program resource integration. The separation of program resources and business management systems decreases the efficiency of the purchasing process. In this situation, purchasers are expected to alternate between purchasing systems and resources intended to aid in their compliance with policy. This inefficiency makes program resources unattractive. The integration of resources with business management systems will help eliminate this inefficiency and encourage higher usage rates.

_Resources are maximized when they are designed to be compatible with multiple pathways._ A single procurement pathway is rarely unique. Nearly all pathways contain intersection points with pathways associated with other procurement vehicles. These intersections should be identified and targeted with appropriate resources.
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